

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE Jerome Peyrelevade 12/21/2001 05725.0984-00 4684 10/024,352 **EXAMINER** 7590 22852 04/07/2006 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER CUFF, MICHAEL A ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413 3627

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/024,352	PEYRELEVADE ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Michael Cuff	3627
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
	• •	LIC OFT TO EVOIDE 2 MONTH/	S) OD THIRTY (20) DAVS
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			•
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 No</u>	ovember 2005.	
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>17-19,25-27 and 34-39</u> is/are pending in the application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-19, 25-27 and 34-39</u> is/are rejected.		
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Applicati	on Papers		
9)□ '	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= ' '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.
Attachmen	t(s)		
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 17-19, 25-27 and 34-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Call.

Call shows, figure 1, methods and apparatus for disseminating product information via the Internet. The product code translator 101 is the common module, which is used by and available for the manufacturers' Internet sites (supplier's web site, see column 1, line 64) and online resellers (reseller's website, column 1, line 57). There is a registration handler process, which allows access to the product translator. One method is user certificates (with related address). Similar password, certificate or digital signature protection schemes may be used to provide access to certain data (sets of information) or to data in certain forms only to authorized requesters (sets of related addresses). Online supplier and resellers inherently have payment engine functions. Since they are both selling the products in the product translator, they still use the information in the translator.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/1/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant asserts that the prior art has no relation to providing information about the products in response to a user query. This is not relevant since it is not in the claim language. The examiner is considering accessing a website the same as "calling" a module in the website.

Applicant does not believe the payment engine functions are inherent in on line suppliers and resellers. The term "payment engine functions" is extremely vague and the bar to meet this limitation is very low. However, the point of inherency is moot because, upon further review of Call, it specifically recites an EDI system between all of the parties involved (column 12, top, first and second payment engines)

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/024,352

Art Unit: 3627

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Page 4

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael Cuff whose telephone number is (571) 272-

6778. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Cuff 4/3/06
Michael Cuff

April 3, 2006